

# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To:

**PCT**

*Translation*

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

(PCT Rule 43bis.1)

		Date of mailing (day/month/year)
Applicant's or agent's file reference <b>45 921 K</b>		FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below
International application No. <b>PCT/EP2004/007037</b>	International filing date (day/month/year) <b>29.06.2004</b>	Priority date (day/month/year) <b>30.06.2003</b>
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC		
<b>Applicant</b> <b>BETRIEBSFORSCHUNGSIINSTITUT VDEH-INSTITUT FÜR ANGEWANDTE FORSCHUNG GMBH</b>		

1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

- |                                     |  |
|-------------------------------------|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Box No. I Basis of the opinion   |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Box No. II Priority  |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability   |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention  |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | Box No. VI Certain documents cited   |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application   |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application   |

2. **FURTHER ACTION**

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA/EP	Authorized officer
Facsimile No.	Telephone No.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.  
PCT/EP2004/007037

Box No. I	Basis of this opinion
1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.	
<input type="checkbox"/> This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language _____, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)).	
2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:	
a. type of material	
<input type="checkbox"/> a sequence listing	
<input type="checkbox"/> table(s) related to the sequence listing	
b. format of material	
<input type="checkbox"/> in written format	
<input type="checkbox"/> in computer readable form	
c. time of filing/furnishing	
<input type="checkbox"/> contained in the international application as filed.	
<input type="checkbox"/> filed together with the international application in computer readable form.	
<input type="checkbox"/> furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.	
3. <input type="checkbox"/> In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.	
4. Additional comments:	

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.  
PCT/EP2004/007037

Box No. II Priority

1.  The following document has not yet been furnished:  
 copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).  
 translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).  
Consequently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.
2.  This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43bis.1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.
3. Additional observations, if necessary:

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/007037

<b>Box No. V</b>		<b>Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement</b>																								
<p><b>1. Statement</b></p> <table> <tr> <td align="center">Novelty (N)</td> <td align="center">Claims</td> <td align="center"><u>1-10</u></td> <td align="center">YES</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td align="center">Claims</td> <td align="center"></td> <td align="center">NO</td> </tr> <tr> <td align="center">Inventive step (IS)</td> <td align="center">Claims</td> <td align="center"><u>1-10</u></td> <td align="center">YES</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td align="center">Claims</td> <td align="center"></td> <td align="center">NO</td> </tr> <tr> <td align="center">Industrial applicability (IA)</td> <td align="center">Claims</td> <td align="center"><u>1-10</u></td> <td align="center">YES</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td align="center">Claims</td> <td align="center"></td> <td align="center">NO</td> </tr> </table>			Novelty (N)	Claims	<u>1-10</u>	YES		Claims		NO	Inventive step (IS)	Claims	<u>1-10</u>	YES		Claims		NO	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	<u>1-10</u>	YES		Claims		NO
Novelty (N)	Claims	<u>1-10</u>	YES																							
	Claims		NO																							
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	<u>1-10</u>	YES																							
	Claims		NO																							
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	<u>1-10</u>	YES																							
	Claims		NO																							
<p><b>2. Citations and explanations:</b></p> <p>1 Reference is made in the present opinion to the following document:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">D1: EP 0 753 360 A (BETR FORSCH INST ANGEW FORSCH) 15 January 1997 (1997-01-15)</p> <p>2 Document D1, which is considered to be the closest prior art, discloses a straightening method according to the preamble of claim 1, from which the subject matter of independent claim 1 differs in that the profile is straightened in the hot state at a profile temperature &gt; 70°.</p> <p>2.1 The subject matter of claim 1 is therefore novel (PCT Article 33(2)).</p> <p>2.2 By straightening in the hot state at a profile temperature &gt; 70° in combination with the introduction of the straightening force directly into the flange, internal stresses, which would otherwise lead to deformations of the profile during the cooling, are avoided.</p>																										

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.  
PCT/EP2004/007037

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

The problem addressed by the present invention can therefore be considered that of avoiding these internal stresses or deformations.

- 2.3 This measure cannot be deduced in an obvious manner from any of the documents cited, so that the subject matter of claim 1 meets the requirements of PCT Article 33(3) for inventive step.
- 2.4 Claim 7 in the present wording is regarded as a claim which relates to a method comprising the method steps of claim 1 using a device having a straightening tool. The subject matter of claim 7 therefore corresponds to the subject matter of claim 1 and is therefore novel and inventive (PCT Article 33(2) and (3)).
- 2.5 Claims 2-6 and 8 to 10 are dependent upon claim 1 and claim 7, respectively, and therefore likewise meet the PCT requirements for novelty and inventive step.

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

International application No.  
**PCT/EP2004/007037**

**Box No. VII      Certain defects in the international application**

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:

Contrary to PCT Rule 5.1(a) (ii), the description does not cite document D1 or indicate the relevant prior art disclosed therein.